## **Moody College of Communication Peer Classroom Observation Coversheet**

Observation of:		
Faculty name:		
Faculty UTEID:		
Faculty rank:		
Department/School:		_
Course title:		_
Course abbreviation & number:	. <u></u>	
Observation by:		
Faculty observer:		-
Signature:	Date:	_
The observer has: Reviewed previous CIS/CES comments* Reviewed previous peer observations*		
*Not applicable for new faculty		
Date of classroom observation(s):		
Date of discussion with the faculty member:		
Date of submission to chair/director:		



Faculty Member Observed
Course Observed
Date Observed

**Peer Observation for Assessment of Teaching** 

<u>Peer Observation for Ass</u>	sessment or	reaching		
CONTENT	Not applicable	Needs improvement	Done well	Truly exemplary
Presented main ideas clearly				
Provided variety of supporting information				
Clearly addressed relevancy of main ideas				
Required higher order thinking of students				
Related ideas to students' prior knowledge				
Provided definitions for new terms/concepts				
ORGANIZATION	Not applicable	Needs improvement	Done well	Truly exemplary
Connected introduction to previous classes				
Stated organization/objectives				
Used clear, effective transitions with summaries				
Had a clear and organized plan				
Concluded by summarizing main ideas				
Connected to future classes/courses/expectations				
ACTIVE ENGAGEMENT IN LEARNING	Not applicable	Needs improvement	Done well	Truly exemplary
Questioned students at different learning levels				
Provided sufficient wait time after asking questions				
Encouraged student questions				
Gave informative responses to student questions				
Had a good rapport/engagement with students				

Faculty Member Observed
Course Observed
Date Observed

SUPPORTIVE CLIMATE		Not applicable	Needs improvement	Done well	Truly exemplary
Energized and motivated the students					
Projects enthusiasm and excitement for the c	ontent				
Used clear, effective transitions with summar	ies				
Responds constructively to student opinions					
Respects diverse viewpoints					
Checks for student understanding throughout probes student responses for analysis and re					
USE OF MEDIA		Not applicable	Needs improvement	Done well	Truly exemplary
Used classroom technology proficiently					
Made visual aids easy to read					
Provided effective outline/handouts					
OVERALL RATING	Unsatisfactory	Satisfactor	y Very C	Good	Excellent
Overall, this instructor was				]	
NADDATIVE DECDONICES					

**STRENGTHS** [e.g. demonstrated knowledge of curriculum preceding and following the presented materials, positive feedback to students, opportunity provided for student questions, relevant examples]:

Faculty Member Observed
Course Observed
Date Observed

**AREAS FOR IMPROVEMENT** [e.g. inability to answer student questions, deficiencies in content knowledge, absence of examples/irrelevant examples, difficulties with student rapport, etc.]

**ADDITIONAL COMMENTS BEYOND THE LECTURE** [e.g. correlation between exam questions and learning objectives, reflection on and incorporation of previous review and suggestions for improvement in teaching, etc.]

**OVERALL**:

Adapted from E. Porter, D.K. Meyer & A.S. Hagen. The Journal of Staff, Program & Organization Development, vol.12, No.2, Fall 1994, pp. 104-105

Modified 02/27/2023